

**AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS:**

The attached drawings include changes to FIG. 11, and addition of FIGS. 11B-11D. The sheet containing FIG. 11A replaces the original sheet including FIG. 11. In FIG. 11A, the figure designation is changed to 11A to accommodate addition of FIGS. 11B-11D. No new matter is added.

In the Office Action at item 3, the Examiner objected to the drawings. In order to overcome the objection, replacement and additional figures are submitted herewith as noted above. Approval of these changes to the Drawings is respectfully requested.

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-7, 29-32, and 34-40 are pending. By this Amendment, the Specification is amended at paragraphs [0020], [0058], and [0067], FIG. 11 is replaced, and FIGS. 11B-11D are added. No new matter is added.

Support for the Amendments to the specification, the replacement FIG. 11, and the addition of FIGS. 11B-11D is found, at least in, paragraphs [0058] and [0067] of the Specification as originally filed. FIG. 11A replaces FIG. 11, and is replaced to accommodate the addition of FIGS. 11B-11D, which the Examiner required. The Specification at paragraphs [0020], [0058], and [0067] are amended in accordance with the replacement of FIG. 11 with FIG. 11A, and the addition of FIGS. 11B-11D.

For the following reasons, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

**DRAWING OBJECTIONS:**

On page 2, item 3 of the Office Action, the drawings are objected to as not showing every feature specified in claims 29-32. FIGS. 11B-11D are added as requested to obviate the objection.

**REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. §112:**

On page 3, item 4 of the Office Action, claims 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The rejection is respectfully traversed.

The original specification at paragraphs [0058] and [0067] specify that the transition area between two sub-areas is also in the BCA area and the user data area. Accordingly, there is ample written support for in the original specification for the subject matter of claims 29-32.

Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

**DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTIONS:**

On page 4, item 5 of the Office Action, claims 1-7, 29-32, and 34-40 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being

unpatentable over claims 33, 35 and 39-44 of co-pending Application No. 10/766,959.

A duly executed Terminal Disclaimer is filed herewith. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

**REQUEST TO CONSIDER INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT:**

An Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) submitting three (3) references and a translation of a foreign Office Action was filed on August 17, 2007. The Examiner is respectfully requested to consider the references and return to the undersigned a PTO-1449 with the Examiner's Signature to confirm consideration of the submitted references.

**CONCLUSION:**

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 503333.

Respectfully submitted,

STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP

Date: 10/23/2007

By: Seth S. Kim  
Seth S. Kim  
Registration No. 54,577

1400 Eye St., NW  
Suite 300  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 216-9505  
Facsimile: (202) 216-9510